

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/776,370		ACHESON ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala		2164	

All Participants:

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala

(2) _____

Date of Interview: 17 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

31, 34, 37 and 40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:30 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative for compact prosecution and suggested to combine claims 34, 40 with 31 and 37 respectively to make independent claims 31 and 37 parallel to claim 43. Applicant agreed and authorized in consultation with client for an Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.